Implementation statement

Year ending 31 December 2020



Section 1: Introduction

This document is the Annual Implementation Statement ("the Statement") prepared by the Trustee of the DSM UK Pension Scheme ("the Scheme") covering the scheme year to 31 December 2020 ("the year").

The purpose of this statement is to:

- Detail any reviews of the Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP") the Trustee has undertaken, and any changes made to the SIP over the year as a result of the review
- Set out the extent to which, in the opinion of the Trustee, the Scheme's SIP, required under section 35 of the Pensions Act 1995 (as amended by the Pensions Act 2004 and regulations made under it), has been followed during the year
- Describe the voting behaviour by, or on behalf of, the Trustee over the year (including most significant votes) and state any use of services of a proxy voter during that year.

A copy of this implementation statement will be made available on the following website alongside the Scheme's SIP:

https://epa.towerswatson.com/accounts/dsm/public/scheme-information/

In summary, the Trustee considers that all SIP policies and principles were adhered to over the year.

Section 2: SIP reviews/changes over the year

The SIP was reviewed and updated during the year, with the relevant versions over the year:

- 1. July 2019 This was the version in place as at the start of the year.
- 2. September 2020 this is the most recent version of the document which was formally adopted by the Trustee and published on the website.

Updates to the Scheme's SIP over the year were mainly to reflect new regulatory requirements to set out the Trustee's policies on:

- How the arrangement with the Investment Manager incentivises the Investment Manager to align its investment strategy and decisions with the Trustee's policies;
- How that arrangement incentivises the Investment Manager to make decisions based on assessments about medium to long-term financial and non-financial performance of an issuer of debt or equity and to engage with issuers of debt or equity in order to improve their performance in the medium to long-term;
- How the method (and time horizon) of the evaluation of the Investment Manager's performance and the remuneration for asset management services are in line with the Trustee's policies;
- How the Trustee monitor portfolio turnover costs incurred by the Investment Manager, and how they define and monitor targeted portfolio turnover or turnover range;
- Sustainable investment, the extent to which non-financial matters are taken into account (if at all) and its policy on voting and engagement.

Other updates include:

- The removal of any reference to the now disbanded investment sub-committee (ISC);
- Updates to the strategic asset weights to reflect the Trustee's decision in February 2020 to implement some dynamic de-risking trades to capture funding level improvements ahead of plan; and in June 2020 to implement the planned mechanistic de-risking. Each tranche of de-risking activity saw 3% of return seeking asset being switched to liability matching assets.

Section 3: Adherence to the SIP

Overall, the Trustee believes the policies outlined in the SIP have been adhered to during the year. In this section we set out how this has been achieved.

Scheme's objectives and long-term policy

The Trustee has identified objectives for the Scheme which are outlined in section 3 of the SIP. These include:

- The acquisition of suitable assets to generate income which together with new contributions from the Company will meet the cost of future benefit payments;
- To limit the risk of the assets failing to meet the liabilities in both the long and short term;
- To minimise the Scheme's long-term costs by maximising the return on the assets.

The investment policy is structured to support these objectives. To achieve this, the Trustee has an established journey plan for targeting full funding on a low risk measure which includes adjustments to the strategic asset weights once certain funding goals are reached.

The Trustee regularly considers the strategic weights of its assets to ensure that the liquidity, expected return and risk is in line with Scheme's objectives. Over the year investment changes implemented by the Scheme included:

- Dynamic de-risking in February 2020 involving a 3% transfer from return seeking assets into LDI.
- Adjustments to the strategic weights agreed in June 2020 as part the mechanistic de-risking which saw a 3% switch from Equities to LDI.
- Plans have been outlined to replace the Scheme's equity portfolio which currently includes State Street regional equity funds with a Global Adaptive Capped ESG Equity Fund managed by Asset Management Exchange (AMX). As at 31 December 2020 this transfer is not yet in place but is expected to occur in the coming year.

The Trustee take the below considerations into account when monitoring the performance of the Scheme's investments.

SIP Policy Area A	pproach	Actions
Monitoring of the • Scheme's objectives and long-term policies	The Trustee conducts investment strategy reviews at least triennially and receives investment advice on an ongoing basis to assess the suitability of the Scheme's portfolio. Quarterly performance monitoring within the DNP provided by DSM Pension Services is also considered	 The last triennial actuarial valuation was carried out as at 31 December 2018. The next valuation will be as at 31 December 2021. During the year the Trustee met regularly to monitor the potential impact to the Scheme of the COVID-

when assessing the suitability of the Scheme's portfolio.

- 19 outbreak including assessing any impact on the funding position.
- De-risking measures were taken in February and June 2020. This involved a 3% transfer of returnseeking assets to LDI in February, and a further adjustment of 3% Equities to LDI to the strategic weights in June.

Investment manager arrangements

The Trustee take the below considerations into account when selecting and monitoring the performance of Investment Managers.

SIP Policy Area

Approach

Incentivising Investment
Managers to align their
investment strategy and
decisions with the
Trustee's investment
policies as set out in the
SIP, and detail the length
of arrangements with
Investment Managers

- Each Investment Manager is chosen for a targeted asset class or market exposure within the Scheme's investment strategy.
- Investment Managers' investment and risk guidelines, including prescribed benchmarks and tracking error limits, help govern their investment mandates, thereby limiting the deviation from the Scheme's investment policy objectives in relation to the kinds of investments held, the balance between different kinds of investments, risks, including the ways in which risks are measured and managed, the expected return on investments, the realisation of investments, and financially material considerations.
- The Scheme invests with multiple Investment Managers for the implementation of the Scheme's investment strategy, which provides additional mitigation of any single manager being misaligned.

Incentivising Investment
Managers to base their
decisions on
assessments of the
medium to long-term
financial performance of
an issuer of debt or
equity, and to engage
with those issuers to
improve their medium to
long-term performance

- The Trustee conducts reviews of Investment Managers regularly including meeting with Investment Managers to ensure that their investment approach is robust, long-term focused and sustainable.
- The Trustee focuses on longer-term outcomes when assessing Investment Manager performance. The Trustee would not expect to terminate a manager's appointment based purely on short term performance.
- If, following engagement, it is the view of the Trustee that the degree of alignment between the policies of the Trustee and an

Investment Manager remain unsatisfactory, the manager will be terminated and replaced.

Method and timescale for evaluating that Investment Managers' performance and fees align with the Trustees' investment policies

 Performance is monitored and reported to the Trustee on a regular basis. The Trustees understand the importance of assessing performance over longer time periods. Investment Managers' fees are consider as part of any decision to invest in a new investment manager or startegy, and are reviewed from time-to-time as appropriate.

Monitoring turnover costs and fees incurred by Investment Managers and how the Trustee defines and monitors targeted portfolio turnover

- The Trustee receives MiFID II compliant cost reporting on an annual basis that covers all costs charged by managers, including costs associated with portfolio turnover.
- The Trustee regularly reviews the costs associated with portfolio turnover as a part of the larger process of monitoring the costs incurred in managing the Scheme's assets. In assessing the appropriateness of portfolio turnover costs the Trustee will compare actual turnover with expected turnover range for the given mandate.

Risk management and compliance

The Trustee recognises several risks involved in the investment of the Scheme's assets in the SIP including solvency risk, manager risk, liquidity risk, currency risk, custodial risk, political risk, sponsor risk and derivative-related risks. These risks are mitigated and considered when setting out the investment policies and are monitored on a regular basis.

The Scheme's administrator (Willis Towers Watson) is responsible for ensuring there is sufficient cash reserves to meet any cashflow requirements. A cashflow management process has been agreed between Willis Towers Watson and the Trustee, whereby an appropriate cash buffer is maintained to provide access to liquidity for short-term needs (current set as a strategic allocation to cash of 0.5% of total Scheme assets). Advice on where to source any additional cashflow and is provided to the Trustee on an ad-hoc basis by the Investment Advisor. All disinvestments to meet cashflow requirements are subject to the processes agreed with the Trustee.

Section 4: Engagement and voting

The Trustee's engagement policy is set out in the SIP.

The Trustee has delegated the day-to-day ESG integration and stewardship activities (including voting and engagement) to its Investment Managers. The approach and actions taken by the Trustee in relation to engagement and how it monitors the Scheme's investment managers is set out in the previous section.

The table below sets out the voting activities of the Scheme's Investment Managers, including any votes cast on the Trustee's behalf, detail on the Scheme's Investment Managers use of proxy voting and examples of votes cast that they deem to be significant. For some of the Scheme's underlying investment strategies, such as hedge funds, government bonds and property, whereby these holdings do not have voting rights attached these have been excluded from the table below.

Voting information as at 31 December 2020.

Manager and strategy	Voting activity	Use of proxy voting	Most significant votes cast
SSgA MPF UK Equity Index Fund	Number of votes cast: 10,813 Percentage of eligible votes cast: 100% Percentage of votes with management: 91.73% Percentage of votes against management: 8.27% Percentage of votes abstained from: 0.56%	State Street retain Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. ("ISS"), to facilitate their proxy voting process. They utilise ISS to: (1) act as their proxy voting agent (providing State Street Global Advisors with vote execution and administration services); (2) assist in applying the Guidelines; (3) provide research and analysis relating to general corporate governance issues and specific proxy items; (4) provide proxy voting guidelines in limited circumstances. State Street do note that their Oversight of the proxy voting process is ultimately the responsibility of the State Street Global Advisors Investment Committee.	Company: Aston Martin Lagonda Global Holdings Plc Resolution: Elect new director Decision: Against Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management and against ISS's recommendation. SSgA cite a lack of diversity on the board as the rationale for their voting decision. Company: Domino's Pizza Group Plc Resolution: Elect new director Decision: Against Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management and against ISS's recommendation. SSgA cite a lack of diversity on the board as the rationale for their voting decision.

SSgA MPF Asia Pacific ex Japan Equity	Number of votes cast: 3,130 Percentage of eligible votes	State Street retain Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. ("ISS"), to facilitate their proxy voting	Company: Golden Agri- Resources Ltd
Index Fund	cast: 100%	process. They utilise ISS to:	Resolution: Elect new director
	Percentage of votes with management: 82.97% Percentage of votes against management: 17.03%	(1) act as their proxy voting agent (providing State Street Global	Decision: Against
		Advisors with vote execution and administration services);	Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management and against ISS's recommendation.
	Percentage of votes abstained from: 0.64%	(2) assist in applying the Guidelines;	SSgA cite a lack of gender diversity on the board as their rationale for their voting
		(3) provide research and analysis relating to general corporate governance issues and specific proxy items;	decision.
		(4) provide proxy voting guidelines in limited	Company: Power Assets Holdings Limited
		circumstances.	Resolution: Elect new director
		State Street do note that their Oversight of the proxy voting	Decision: Against
		process is ultimately the responsibility of the State Street Global Advisors Investment Committee.	Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management. SSgA cite a lack of gender diversity on the board as the rationale for their voting decision.
SSgA MPF	Number of votes cast: 28,807	State Street retain Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. ("ISS"), to facilitate their proxy voting process. They utilise ISS to:	Company: China Mobile
Emerging Markets Equity Index Fund	Percentage of eligible votes cast: 98.56%		Resolution: Elect new director
	Percentage of votes with management: 82.93%	(1) act as their proxy voting agent (providing State Street Global	Decision: Against
	Percentage of votes against	Advisors with vote execution and administration services);	Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management and
	management: 15.60% Percentage of votes	(2) assist in applying the Guidelines;	against ISS's recommendation. SSgA cite a lack of gender diversity on the board as their
	abstained from: 2.58%	(3) provide research and analysis relating to general corporate governance issues and specific proxy items;	rationale for their voting decision.
		(4) provide proxy voting guidelines in limited	Company: Yangzijiang Shipbuilding (Holdings) Ltd.
		circumstances.	Resolution: Elect new director
		State Street do note that their Oversight of the proxy voting process is ultimately the responsibility of the State Street Global Advisors Investment Committee.	Decision: Against
			Rationale for inclusion: This vote was against management. SSgA cite a lack of gender diversity on

LGIM Infrastructure Equity MFG Fund Number of votes cast: 1,131

Percentage of eligible votes cast: 99.91%

Percentage of votes with management: 84.79%

Percentage of votes against management: 15.21%

Percentage of votes abstained from: 0.00%

LGIM uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and they do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure their proxy provider votes in accordance with their position on ESG, LGIM have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions.

LGIM state that there were no significant votes to report during this period.